

**UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF NURSING**  
**NMETH 801 Practice Doctorate Project: Implementation**  
**DOCTOR OF NURSING PRACTICE FINAL PROJECT EXAMINATION RUBRIC**

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Component	1 <u>Unsatisfactory</u> (Below Minimum Expectations)	2 <u>Satisfactory</u> (Minimum Expectations to Well Done)	3 <u>Excellent</u> (Exceptionally Well Done)	Comments
<b>I. Executive Summary</b>				
I.a. Introduction supports relevance of problem/issue and/or project, including purpose statement				
I.b. Objective(s) stated in feasible and measurable terms				
1.c. Background includes a brief summary of focused evidence related to the issue				
I.d. Process/Implementation approach clearly described including measures (use model/framework to organize this section)				
I.e. Describes outcomes/deliverables, and the extent to which objectives were achieved or modified				
I.f. Conclusions/Recommendations/ Implications includes a brief summary of findings, and clearly articulates recommendations for agency and implications for future practice and practice inquiry				
I.g. Appendices include copy of deliverables (as indicated)				
I.h. Project taken as a whole supports integration of tripartite role (advanced practice, leadership, and practice inquiry)				
I.i. Agency executive summary writing is scholarly, clear and concise; referenced appropriately (AMA is default unless otherwise specified)				
<b>II. Poster</b>				
II.a. Poster is well organized, and demonstrates evidence of substantive scholarly effort and analysis				
II.b. Visuals/graphics are used appropriately and add to understanding of project				

II.c. Poster includes introduction, purpose/objectives, background, implementation, outcomes, conclusions, and recommendations.				
II.d. Describes (or discusses in oral presentation) how framework or model chosen guided process				
II.e. Discusses finding and impact of project on health system/community and/or policy at the agency				
II.f. Describes extent to which objectives were achieved and any unexpected results or unintended consequences				
<b>III. Oral Presentation</b>				
III.a. Able to articulate and critique state of evidence related to project and strategies used to implement and evaluate				
III.b. Demonstrated collaborative partnership building and leadership skills in implementing project				
III.c. Able to articulate professional growth and application of leadership knowledge and skills				
III.d. Able to respond orally to questions related to project				
III.e. Confirms Executive Summary and electronic version of poster provided to agency contact				

Summative Comments:

**Overall Evaluation of the DNP Project Final Examination:**

\_\_\_\_\_ High Pass (Excellent rating in majority of components)

\_\_\_\_\_ Pass

\_\_\_\_\_ Conditional Pass: Recommendations for Revision (see below)  
(Revisions to be completed and filed with Doctoral Committee within 1 week of receipt of request)

Areas to be addressed:

Were all areas noted above addressed in revised documents?

Yes  No

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Final Grade:

Pass  Fail

\_\_\_\_\_ Fail  
(Unsatisfactory rating in majority of components; required major revision or re-evaluation)

Areas needing remediation:

Committee Co-Chair Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Co-Chair Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Member Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Member Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Member Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Scanned copy uploaded to Canvas for Student Records